Immunological tolerance induced by in utero injection
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SUMMARY Intrauterine injection of human whole blood into rabbit and rhesus monkey fetuses was found to result in long lasting unresponsiveness to human serum albumin. Intrauterine injection of viable allogeneic bone marrow cells into rabbit fetuses was without any apparent harmful effect and also resulted in permanent unresponsiveness demonstrated by donor red cell survival studies. The implication of these findings in respect of using this approach towards the correction of certain inherited diseases in man is discussed.

Induction of immunological tolerance is classically conferred by the very early injection of antigens.1 2 Especially significant in terms of the concept of tolerance were the experiments performed upon mice in the immediate neonatal period.3 4 Exposure to antigens earlier in life also leads to tolerance. For example, newborn animals are tolerant to transplacentally transmitted maternal immunoglobulin.5 However, there have been few recorded studies of induction of tolerance by intrauterine injection of the fetus, a study which we have performed here.

Our initial interest concerning tolerance centred upon early embryo aggregation derived mouse chimaeras.6 7 Derivation of such chimaeras is difficult and this led us to consider 'constructing' chimaeras by intrauterine injection. Motivation for this approach was the possibility of using this procedure to correct certain haemopoietic stem cell defects, for example, thalassaemia major. In this study we have attempted to ascertain whether unresponsiveness to intrauterine injected xenogeneic whole blood and allogeneic bone marrow can be achieved and whether unresponsiveness is permanent.

Materials and methods

ANIMALS AND PRIMARY EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Tolerance to xenogeneic whole blood
Tolerance was investigated in a litter of NZW rabbits and one rhesus monkey (Macaca mulatta) which was available. Although the surgical techniques employed for the two species varied, the approach was essentially the same. Briefly, this involved the injection of xenogeneic whole blood (human) into the peritoneal cavity of mid-late term rabbit and rhesus monkey fetuses and later challenging after birth.

Surgery in the rhesus monkey was performed as described by Cotes and her colleagues and involved hysterotomy, removal of amniotic fluid, and injection of whole human blood (2 to 4 ml ip) through a 23 gauge needle into the fetus under direct vision. The incision was later repaired in layers. Before closure, amniotic fluid with 10 ml of warm saline was introduced back into the amniotic cavity.

Laparotomy in the rabbit was followed by injection of human blood directly through the uterine wall into the peritoneal cavity of each fetus, using a 23 gauge needle, and the abdominal wall was repaired in layers as in the monkey. Thirteen fetuses were injected with 0·5 ml group A Rh+ve human blood on the 28th day of a 31 day gestation. One monkey fetus was injected at 90 days with 2 to 4 ml of A Rh+ve human whole blood.

Tolerance to allogeneic bone marrow
A second mid-term NZW rabbit was used here and viable allogeneic bone marrow cells from one adult NZW donor were injected into each of the seven fetuses as above. Each fetus was injected on the 26th day of a 31 day gestation with 6·4 × 10⁶ viable femoral bone marrow cells suspended in 0·5 ml RPMI 1640 + pen/strep (100 units/ml).

INVESTIGATION

Rabbits and rhesus monkey injected with whole human blood
Of the 13 rabbit fetuses injected, 12 survived. The
The rhesus monkey was also injected and survived. All the animals appeared normal at birth and were reared by their mothers. Six of the injected rabbits together with six age matched untreated controls were first challenged at 12 weeks of age with $\approx 20 \times 10^{10} \mu Ci/mg$ of $^{51}$Cr labelled red cells (25 $\mu Ci/mg$). This challenge was also carried out in the treated and control rhesus monkeys. Following the elimination of the $^{51}$Cr radioactivity, all groups of animals were challenged with 1·0 mg $^{125}$I-HSA (25 $\mu Ci/mg$) and this was repeated on four separate occasions when the radioactivity had been eliminated.

**Rabbits injected with allogeneic bone marrow**

Four rabbits survived injection of allogeneic bone marrow for testing, three having been cannibalised by the mother at birth. The survivors appeared normal and were successfully reared. Again these survivors were challenged at 12 weeks of age, together with three age matched untreated controls on three occasions with $\approx 20 \times 10^{10}$, $^{51}$Cr labelled red cells (25 $\mu Ci/mg$).

In each case following the first challenge each animal was rechallenged once radioactivity could no longer be detected in any of the animals.

**Results**

**XENOGENEIC HUMAN BLOOD INJECTED ANIMALS**

In both the rabbits and the rhesus monkeys there was a rapid and total loss (4 days) of $^{51}$Cr-radiolabelled red cells and there was no difference between the in utero injected animals and the untreated matched controls. This loss, one has to presume, resulted from interspecies human red cell lytic activity in both the rabbits and monkeys.

In respect of the results with labelled HSA both the rabbits and monkey presented very similar findings.

The results on the rabbits are detailed in table 1 and shown in diagram in fig 1.

In contrast to the controls (fig 1) there was a generally delayed elimination of HSA in the in utero injected rabbits. Although there was some individual variation, this was to be expected. One possible explanation is that in utero injection of each individual fetus was not invariably successful. Alternatively, it is known that immunological response is variable. These limitations aside, the results confirm that relative unresponsiveness to HSA can be induced by in utero injection of whole blood. More recently we have demonstrated that this is also the case when pure HSA is injected alone (unpublished data), and again after five subsequent challenges unresponsiveness was maintained. In the controls there was evidence of an increasing rate of elimination of HSA in subsequent challenges compared with the primary challenge (table 1).

Permanent sustained tolerance to HSA was also
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**FIG 1 Elimination of $^{125}$I-labelled HSA in six individual rabbits.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenge</th>
<th>Controls (6 animals)</th>
<th>Tests* (6 animals)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>50% fall-off (days)</td>
<td>Range 1-80 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median 1-88</td>
<td>Mean 8-80 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100% fall-off (days)</td>
<td>Mean 2-00 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range 8-0-10-9</td>
<td>Median 19-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median 9-80</td>
<td>Mean 19-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean 9-7-6-2-2</td>
<td>Mean 18-8-6-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% fall-off (days)</td>
<td>Range 0-60 ± 1-96</td>
<td>50% fall-off (days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median 1-60</td>
<td>Range 1-82 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean 1-80 ± 1-30</td>
<td>Median 2-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsequent†</td>
<td>100% fall-off (days)</td>
<td>Mean 2-10 ± 0-7-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range 3-10-10-0</td>
<td>Median 20-2</td>
<td>100% fall-off (days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median 8-90</td>
<td>Range 19-0-22-0</td>
<td>Mean 20-3-2-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean 7-20 ± 6-20</td>
<td>Median 20-3-2-70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In utero injected.
† Accumulated data of five challenges with $^{125}$I-labelled HSA.
seen in the sole in utero injected monkey which was tested. No apparent difference could be demonstrated between the in utero injected and control monkey following the 1st HSA challenge, but clear differences were seen in the 2nd, 3rd, 4th, and 5th challenges. Although only performed in two animals the fact remains that the overall pattern (fig 2) is very similar to the rabbits (fig 1).

In both the test and control group of rabbits and monkeys a very rapid clearance of HSA was noted during the first 24 hours. This is assumed to be the result of elimination of denatured radiolabelled HSA. In contrast to the in utero injected unresponsive (tolerant) animals, the rapid elimination (post 24 hour) "fall off" in the control animals is presumed to be the result of increasing sensitisation to HSA challenges. It might be argued that we only examined one in utero injected monkey (and one untreated control). However, the data are included here (1) to record the remarkable similarity with the situation in the rabbits and (2) to show that the phenomenon is not confined to the rabbit but also occurs in other species, species nearer to man, which is important in respect of our basic aim to consider the feasibility of the application of this approach to correct certain deficiency diseases in man.

RABBITS INJECTED WITH ALLOGENEIC BONE MARROW

When challenged with $^{51}$Cr-labelled donor red cells there were clear differences between the intrauterine injected animals and the untreated controls, as can be seen in table 2, and more easily in fig 3. Quite

**TABLE 2** Elimination of $^{51}$Cr-labelled allogeneic RBC in in utero injected rabbits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenges</th>
<th>Controls (3 animals)</th>
<th>Tests* (4 animals)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>$^{50}$% fall-off (days)</td>
<td>$^{50}$% fall-off (days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range 7-51-8.42</td>
<td>Range 9-10-9-60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median 8-00</td>
<td>Median 9-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean 7-970 ± 0-450</td>
<td>Mean 9-35 ± 0-250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% fall-off (days)</td>
<td>100% fall-off (days)</td>
<td>Range 61-8-63-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range 48-0-56-34</td>
<td>Range 61-8-63-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median 53-60</td>
<td>Median 62-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean 52-40 ± 3-94</td>
<td>Mean 62-2 ± 0-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8% fall-off (days)</td>
<td>30% fall-off (days)</td>
<td>Range 8-00-8-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range 2-20-3-80</td>
<td>Range 8-00-8-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median 2-60</td>
<td>Median 8-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean 2-90 ± 0-83</td>
<td>Mean 8-35 ± 0-34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% fall-off (days)</td>
<td>100% fall-off (days)</td>
<td>Range 58-66-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range 15-6-23-4</td>
<td>Range 58-66-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median 18-0</td>
<td>Median 60-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean 19-0 ± 3-99</td>
<td>Mean 63-0 ± 3-73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In utero injected.
†Accumulated data of three challenges.
clearly, the red cell survival was increased almost four-fold in the intrauterine grafted animals, and it must be assumed that the relative accelerated loss of labelled red cells in controls represents immune clearance. Tolerance to at least the donor red cell must be assumed to result from intrauterine bone marrow grafting.

Discussion

Fetal blood sampling is currently being employed in the diagnosis of various human blood diseases and perhaps the commonest is thalassaemia major. Our primary interest in tolerance to in utero grafts is the possibility of correction of such diseases by mid-gestational grafting of normal adult haematopoietic stem cells. Hopefully these cells will survive and, for example, in the case of thalassaemia major, proliferate and provide erythroid cells with normal haemoglobin. Even if the primary graft is not established, there is always the opportunity of a secondary graft in the postnatal period, since we anticipate having achieved tolerance. This context it is of interest to note the findings of Rayfield and his colleagues. They showed that whereas lymphoid cells obtained from the human fetus before 18 weeks of in utero life were capable of reacting in an MLR, cytotoxicity could not be demonstrated.

This is encouraging in respect to fetal grafting in humans at around 16 weeks' gestation, the time at which diagnosis of thalassaemia is usually performed. The implication is that the graft would be accepted and hopefully the child would be rendered tolerant. Here it would be an advantage to use the parents as stem cell graft donors. If secondary grafting was required this could be effected from either parent or from any unaffected sib. Of course there would be no question of this procedure being considered genetic engineering.

Although successful establishment of tolerance to the graft is anticipated to preclude or reduce the chance of rejection of bone marrow graft, there is always the problem of graft-versus-host (GVH) disease. Surprisingly, this does not appear to be a problem in the animals grafted in utero. Bangham et al, for example, showed that even $20 \times 10^7$ allogeneic bone marrow or spleen cells or both when injected into mid-gestational rhesus monkey fetuses failed to cause any apparent harmful GVH disease. We have obtained other evidence to support this. In both rabbits and baboons (Papio sp) (unpublished data) injection of allogeneic bone marrow cells fail to affect fetal development. The question that remains is if the graft is accepted why does graft-versus-host reactivity not occur? Although we have yet to establish evidence of chimaerism in the animals, results are encouraging and suggest the possibility of employing in utero grafting to correct certain haemopoietic stem cell defects and possibly other inherited defects in man.

Here we have shown that permanent unresponsiveness to xenogeneic albumin can be induced in both rabbits and rhesus monkeys by in utero injection of mid-gestational fetuses, in the former even when retested one year after the final challenge described here (unpublished data). In retrospect tolerance might have been anticipated since there are many cases where unresponsiveness to soluble antigens can be induced by injection in the newborn. The same is true of rabbits born from mothers injected with HSA. In contrast, we have noted no change in unresponsiveness in spite of five challenges over the course of one year and, as mentioned above, even after a gap of an additional year. It may be argued that the repeated frequent challenges of the antigen in this situation may have contributed in some way to the permanency of tolerance. Alternatively, direct in utero injection of the antigen might be more efficient in inducing permanent tolerance. Perhaps of more importance, tolerance to allogeneic red cells can be induced, apparently without any risk to the fetus. The relevance of this observation to the treatment of haemopoietic cell deficiencies in man is quite apparent.

Addendum

Since this study, additional investigation has been performed. Bone marrow cells were injected into fetuses solely in one horn of each of two mid-term does. Results were essentially as above. No effect upon fetal development was noted in any of 11 live fetuses born from the two litters. The animals have all continued to remain alive and well. Moreover, half of the young were found to be tolerant to radio-labelled injected donor red cells on two consecutive challenges. In contrast the remaining (control) animals showed increased red cell destruction, especially apparent on the second challenge.

The conclusion remains the same that tolerance can be induced to red cells, and probably also other haemopoietic cells, by the in utero injection of allogeneic bone marrow and, moreover, without any harmful effect upon the fetus.
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