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The chromosome complement in 27 patients with convincing evidence of hermaphroditism has now been investigated. A normal female karyotype (44 autosomes + XX) was reported in 17 cases (Bregman, Bregman, Cushman, and Woods, 1963; de Assis, Epps, and Bottura, 1960; Dewhurst, Warrack, and Casey, 1963; German, Bearn, and McGovern, 1962; Gordon, O'Gorman, Dewhurst, and Blank, 1960; Harnden and Armstrong, 1959; Hungerford, Donnelly, Nowell, and Beck, 1959; Merrill and Ramsey, 1963; Overzier, 1963; Root, Eberlein, Briebart, Moorhead, and Mellman, 1964; Rosenberg, Clayton, and Hsu, 1963; Sasaki and Makino, 1960; Solomon and Green, 1963) and a normal male karyotype (44 + XY) in 2 (Sandberg, Koepf, Crosswhite, and Hauschka, 1960; A. A. Sandberg, 1963, personal communication; Shearman, Singh, Lee, Hudson, and Ilbery, 1964). Ferguson-Smith, Johnston, and Weinberg (1960) and M. A. Ferguson-Smith (1964, personal communication) reported a patient who appeared to have a mixture of cell types (mosaicism), one cell line apparently having a 44 + XX complement and the other a 44 + XXX complement. The same authors report a second case with 44 + XX karyotype in most of the cells examined, though a few cells showed a small chromosome fragment in addition*. Gartler and his colleagues (Gartler, Waxman, and Giblett, 1962; Waxman, Gartler, and Kelley, 1962; Giblett, Gartler, and Waxman, 1963) described a 44 + XX/44 + XY mosaic. Grouchy and others (Grouchy, Moullec, Salmon, Josso, Fregal, and Lamy, 1964; J. de Grouchy, 1964, personal communication; Bregger and Aagenaes, 1964), and A. Bregger (1965, personal communication) described two other hermaphrodites with 44 + XX/44 + XY mosaicism. Three cell lines, 44 + XX, 44 + XXY, and 44 + XXXYY, were observed in a hermaphrodite described by Fraccaro, Taylor, Bodian, and Newns (1962) and 2 cell lines, 44 + XX and 44 + XXY, in that described by Turpin, Lejeune, and Breton (1962). Blank et al. (1964) described a presumptive 44 + XX/44 + XXY mosaic.

A 44 + XY/44 + XO mosaic in which there is some histological support for the diagnosis of hermaphroditism has been described by Hirschhorn, Decker, and Cooper (1960).

Case Report

We describe here a case of a hermaphrodite with presumptive 44 + XX + fragment/44 + XO chromosome mosaicism.

Clinical Findings. The patient was first seen by one of us (C.J.D.) on May 11, 1964, at 14 months of age. The child was the fourth of young parents, the 3 older children being clinically normal. The sex at birth was thought to be male, but doubts were soon expressed, and, though registered in the male sex, the child was brought up as a girl. A buccal smear taken shortly after birth was chromatin positive, and a provisional diagnosis of the adrenogenital syndrome was made only to be excluded by the finding of a 17-ketosteroid excretion of 0.2 mg. in 24 hours. Shortly afterwards an injection of contrast medium into an apparent urethra outlined a vagina, a uterus, and one tube. Further investigation was clearly indicated.

Examination showed a pale, evidently female child. The external genitalia (Fig. 1) consisted of a moderately sized phallus with a single opening at its base resembling the urethra opening in a position of hypospadias. An apparently bifid scrotum contained, on the left side, a gonad which felt like a testis, and the right side was empty. A gonad could not be felt in the groin. The child was admitted for further investigation. A buccal smear was examined and confirmed to be chromatin positive (see below). The level of 17-ketosteroid excretion was 0.3 mg./24 hours and that of the 17-ketogenic steroids 0.45 mg./24 hours. An examination under anaesthesia, a laparotomy, and a chromosomal analysis were planned, but the child was found to have a marked
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* In a previous paper (Blank, Zachary, Bishop, Emery, Dewhurst, and Bond, 1964) we had not included this case since it seemed to us that the diagnosis of hermaphroditism was not conclusive. Further information kindly supplied to us by Dr. Ferguson-Smith now leaves us in no doubt.
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Fig. 1. External genitalia at 14 months age.

evidence that the child should continue to live in the female sex in which she had so far been brought up (though not registered). The parents were in complete agreement since they had always regarded their child as a girl.

On June 19, the testis was removed and the tissues on this side fashioned to match the right side, which sufficiently resembled a labium to require no further treatment. The phallus was then reduced in size by a technique previously described (Blank et al., 1964) in which the corpora cavernosa were excised and the glans preserved. A short backward incision from the external perineal opening disclosed the vagina, which was evidently very narrow, lying immediately behind the urethra. It was decided that adequate plastic vaginal enlargement could not be performed at this time and nothing more was done. The parents were firmly told that the child was a normal girl. They were warned that some hormone therapy might be required near to puberty and a plastic vaginal enlargement, probably not involving a major procedure, when marriage was contemplated.

Sex-chromatin and Chromosome Analysis. 45 suitable nuclei in buccal smear preparations were examined for sex chromatin: 14 (31%) had a single Barr body of normal size. No cell had a nucleus with more than one body. A count of 31% is within the normal range for an individual with two X chromosomes.

Chromosome preparations were derived from two peripheral blood cultures, from dermis and from the left gonad. Culture of the right gonad was unsuccessful. Chromosome counts are summarized in the Table. Thirty of the cells with a count of 47 chromosomes were analysed in detail; each had 16 chromosomes in the X-6-12 group and an abnormal small metacentric chromosome (Fig. 2). This centric fragment stained well, did not appear to have satellites, and did not show association with satellited chromosomes. It is the impression of two of us (A.M.B. and M.H.) that this

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Chromosome Counts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Counted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood culture (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood culture (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left gonad (testis)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Presumptive 44 + XO.
† Three cells appeared to have the fragment chromosome missing; in the remainder various chromosomes were absent.
‡ Presumptive 44 + XX + centric fragment.
centric fragment was more often located at the periphery of the chromosome spread than would be expected by chance. A chromosome with the distinctive morphology of the normal Y chromosome was not apparent. Each of the cells with a count of 45 chromosomes examined in detail had 15 chromosomes in the X-6-12 group. An identifiable Y chromosome was not apparent, nor was a centric fragment. Although 3 of the 7 cells with a count of 46 chromosomes appeared to have an XX chromosome complement without the centric fragment the chromosomes in these cells were not well spread. It is probable that a centric fragment was hidden by another chromosome.

It was concluded that two cell lines were present in each of four separate cultures. Detailed analysis of chromosome morphology was compatible with a 44 + XX + chromosome fragment/44 + XO mosaicism.

Histological Examination of Gonads. A biopsy taken from the right gonad (Fig. 3) showed a typical ovarian stroma but only a few primordial follicles, far less than would normally be found in a 15-month-old child. The whole picture was that of an immature embryonic ovary. Material from the left gonad (Fig. 4) consisted of inactive seminiferous tubules. Some of these were present in the tunica suggesting maldevelopment. Few Leydig cells were visible. The maturity of the testis was consistent with the age of the child.

The left gonad was further examined following removal 10 days later. No tissue other than seminiferous tubules was present.

Blood Group Findings. Blood group studies were made on the patient, her father, and her mother. All tests were read microscopically and there was no evidence of mixed field agglutination, indicating that there was only one red-cell population.

Mother:
A1, R, MsNs, P1+, Lu (a-b+), Kell (a-b+), O
R1, Rs, NsNs, P1+Lu (a-b+), Kell (A-b+), Le (a+b-), Fy (a+), Jk (a+b+), Xg (a+)

Father:
A1, R, MsMs, P1+, Lu (a-b+), Kell (a-b+), Le (a-b+), Fy (a+), Jk (a+b+), Xg (a+)

Patient:
Le (a+b-), Fy (a+), Jk (a+b+), Xg (a+)

Fig. 3. Biopsy of right gonad showing ovarian stroma and very few primordial follicles. (× 330).
Discussion

It is our belief (Gordon and Dewhurst, 1962; Dewhurst and Gordon, 1963), based upon the earlier work of Wilkins and his colleagues (Hampson, 1955; Money, Hampson, and Hampson, 1955a, b; Wilkins, 1960), that in children of doubtful sex the best results are obtained by full investigation during the first year of life followed by a most careful decision on the more appropriate sex of rearing. This decision may then be underlined by suitable plastic surgical procedures and sometimes by hormone therapy as well. In this case the child was first seen at the age of 14 months. A full investigation was undertaken as soon as possible and a diagnosis of hermaphroditism was established.

Probably the most important single factor in deciding the more appropriate sex of rearing of a hermaphrodite baby is the functional possibility of the external genitalia. In this case plastic surgical treatment could have made the genitalia conform to either sex and some other criteria were required to permit this decision to be made. The necessary plastic surgery was simpler if the child was to be female, but a more important consideration seemed to be that, though the child was not yet old enough to have formed any firm affinities with either sex, the parents had become accustomed to regarding her as a girl. To continue the female sex involved no emotional change for them even though legal re-registration was required.

The nature of the gonads in cases of hermaphroditism has seemed to us to be important only because the unwanted gonadal tissue requires to be removed to prevent later heterosexual manifestations. In the present case, at the time of the investigation, the testis appeared the more normal gonad, but we were not disposed to allow this to influence our choice as it seemed much less important than the other consideration mentioned above. The poor development of the ovary however makes it likely that oestrogen therapy will be desirable when the child approaches puberty; this cannot be considered a serious disadvantage.

We believe it important in this kind of case to remove all external evidence of maleness so that nothing shall interfere with the parents' view of their child as being completely normal. Accordingly the testis was removed and the clitoris reduced in size. It was then apparent that the vaginal

Fig. 4. Biopsy of left gonad showing seminiferous tubules; Leydig cells are not clearly identifiable. (× 208.)
narrowing was greater than could properly be dealt with by a plastic enlargement at that time and it is intended to review this aspect of the case when the child is much older.

The appearance of the ovary, both macroscopic and microscopic, was most interesting. Macroscopically this gland resembled the 'streak' gonads found at operation in 44 + XO patients of Turner's syndrome but had more substance to it. Histological examination showed the presence of fairer primary follicles than are normally found in young children.

Although ovarian follicles are not seen in the streak gonads of Turner's syndrome, it must be remembered that the gonads of these patients are not examined until after the normal age of puberty. It might be suggested that follicles may have been present at an earlier age. If indeed this is the case, then poor ovarian development in our patient may be referred to the presence of the 44 + XO cell line. Alternatively the ova in our patient may be related to the presence of the 44 + XX + fragment cell line.

The precise nature of the chromosome fragment here cannot be determined. It is tempting to consider it a fragment of a Y and to relate the testicular tissue to the 44 + XX + fragment (? Y) cell line.

We are indebted to the late Dr. I. Dunsford, National Blood Transfusion Service, Regional Transfusion Centre, Sheffield, for a report on the blood group investigation carried out on the patient and her parents.
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