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SUMMARY A family is described in which a pericentric inversion (13) was discovered in the father after the birth of an abnormal baby. In a further pregnancy amniocentesis was carried out. The fetal karyotype showed a rec(13)dup p,inv(13)(p11q22). The fetus's abnormalities were similar to those observed in the first child. Family studies showed that a first cousin, mentally retarded, had a rec(13)dup q,inv(13)(p11q22) karyotype. In this family, the risk of occurrence of a recombinant in offspring of an inversion carrier could be as high as 40%.

Several families have been described in which a pericentric inversion in a phenotypically normal parent has given rise to a duplication/deficiency recombinant in a phenotypically abnormal offspring.1-3 We report here a family in which a pericentric inversion of a chromosome 13 was found after the birth of an abnormal infant. Within this family two types of recombinant were discovered causing different sets of abnormalities.

Family report (fig 1)

The parents (III.5 and III.6) were aged 30 and 28 years, respectively, when their first child (IV.1) was born at term in 1970. Pregnancy was complicated by...
a threatened abortion at 3 months but was otherwise normal. The 1600 g female infant had multiple congenital abnormalities (fig 2). These were microcephaly, severe bilateral microphthalmia, low set abnormal ears, bilateral absent thumbs with long slender fingers, and an imperforate anus with a fistula near the vaginal orifice. She failed to thrive and died at the age of 6 weeks from bronchopneumonia, her weight at that time being only 1080 g. In addition to the external anomalies, necropsy examination showed a very small brain (140 g) with all its lobes reduced in size, particularly the frontal lobes. No other abnormality was noted, but a dislocation of both hip joints was suspected. Unbanded chromosome analysis appeared normal.

After the loss of this baby, the parents proceeded with another pregnancy in 1973, which resulted in the birth of a normal female infant (IV.2).

The parents sought genetic counselling in 1974. At this time it was felt that the abnormalities in the first baby could have been chromosomal in origin, so karyotypes of both parents and the surviving child were prepared using banding techniques. The mother and child (III.6 and IV.2) were normal (46,XX), but it was found that the father (III.5) carried a pericentric inversion of a chromosome 13, 46,XY,inv(13)(p11q22) (fig 3a). Amniocentesis for fetal karyotyping was advised in any future pregnancy because of the risk of a recombinant.

The mother conceived again in 1976. Amniocentesis was carried out at 16 weeks and the fetal karyotype showed a recombinant of the inverted 13 with duplication of the short arm and deficiency of the long arm 46,XY,rec(13)dup p,inv(13)(p11q22) (fig 3b). The pregnancy was terminated and the male fetus (IV.3) was found to have microcephaly, microphthalmia, and absent thumbs. These were similar to the abnormalities found in the first child (fig 4). Sterilisation was offered to the mother at the time of the termination of pregnancy but the parents wished to postpone such an irreversible decision until there had been a reassessment of the genetic risk with further family data.

Chromosome analysis of the father’s paternal first cousins was performed. The normal male (III.3) was found to carry the pericentric inversion. His elder sister (III.2) had always been considered
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to have Down's syndrome, but chromosome analysis had never been performed. She was short (149 cm) and mentally retarded with ptosis, cleft soft palate, and polydactyly. There was a history of fits during childhood. Her karyotype showed a second recombinant of the inverted 13 with duplication of part of the long arm 46,XX,rec(13)dup q11q22). The father's only sib was a brother (III.4) who died at the age of 14 years. He was severely retarded and had polydactyly. He also had fits during childhood.

A high risk of recurrence of abnormality was given, and the couple decided that this was unacceptable and requested sterilisation. However, pregnancy had already occurred and amniocentesis was again performed at 16 weeks. This time the fetus was 46,XX,inv(13)(p11q22). The pregnancy continued to term and a phenotypically normal female infant was delivered (IV.4).

Discussion

The estimation of genetic risk in this family had, in the first instance, to be based upon the clinical assessment of the abnormalities found in the first child. Microcephaly, microphthalmia, absent thumbs, imperforate anus, and congenital dislocation of the hips have been described as occurring together in partial 13q monosomy.4 When the father was found to carry an inversion of a chromosome 13, it seemed justifiable to assume that this was contributory to the occurrence of abnormalities in the child, and that there was a risk of recurrence.

Sutherland et al5 pooled the available data from reported families in which inversions of various chromosomes had been ascertained by the birth of an abnormal baby, and from these figures they defined a risk of recurrence of abnormality of 10% if the female parent carried the inversion and 5% if the male parent were the carrier. The authors accept that grouping together this heterogeneous data might not be reliable, but it could provide a basis for genetic counselling.

In a review of 33 amniocenteses carried out because of parental inversions, Boué (1978, personal communication) found that 17 of the fetuses carried the recombinant, 13 had normal karyotypes, and three recombinants were detected. In this group the risk of a recombinant in the offspring of an inversion carrier is in broad agreement with Sutherland's figure of 10%, and this was the risk given to the parents (II.5 and 6).

After amniocentesis, the finding of microphthalmia, microcephaly, and absent thumbs in the terminated fetus carrying a recombinant confirmed our assumption that these abnormalities were associated with chromosomal imbalance. When a second viable recombinant was found with the much less specific abnormalities of mental retardation, polydactyly, and cleft palate, known to be associated in partial trisomy 13, a reassessment of genetic risk was made.

Warter et al6 reported a family in which a father carrying a pericentric inversion of chromosome 5 had two abnormal offspring, each carrying a different recombinant. They estimated the risk of recurrence of abnormality to be 2 in 6 in their family. It would seem that the risk must depend upon the chromosome involved, the size and position of the inversion, and the viability of recombinants, and thus each family must be considered individually.

In each of the three previously described families with inversions of a chromosome 13,1–3 only one viable recombinant was reported, but in each family there was a history of spontaneous abortions which could represent intrauterine death of recombinants. Taysi et al,3 recognising that both recombinants were potentially viable in their family, estimated the risk of abnormality to be 1 in 5.

In the family reported here there were no spontaneous abortions noted and both recombinants were viable. The findings of two recombinants among the six karyotyped subjects (offspring of obligatory inversion carriers) gives an occurrence rate of 1 in 3, and if those whose chromosomes were not examined are included, four in ten subjects were abnormal. In all cases the inversion was transmitted through male parents and a risk of 40% can be attributed to offspring of male carriers. The empirical risk to offspring of female carriers cannot be estimated from the family data, but the risk must be high. This is an obvious indication for amniocentesis and fetal karyotyping in any future pregnancy.
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